

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| | 10/784,629 | 02/23/2004 | Joseph P. Errico | F-273 | 8400 |
| | LERNER, DAV KRUMHOLZ & | | 7 | EXAMINER WOODALL, NICHOLAS W | |
| | 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 3733 | |
| | | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | | 10/18/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/784,629 | ERRICO ET AL. | | | |
| interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Nicholas Woodall | 3733 | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | | |
| (1) <u>Nicholas Woodall</u> . | (3) <u>Eduardo Robert</u> . | | | | |
| (2) <u>William DiBianca</u> . | (4) | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>11 October 2007</u> . | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2 | ²)☐ applicant's representative | ·] | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e) No. | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,13,15</u> , and <u>16</u> . | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Keller. | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g |)⊡ was not reached. h)⊠ N | /A. | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. | opy of the amendments that w | reed would render the claims ould render the claims | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | SUPERVISO | | | | |
| | Asth | | | | |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. | Examiner's signa | ature, if required | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative contacted the examiner to discuss the drawing objections and rejections towards claims 1, 13, 15, and 16. The applicant's representative discussed cancelling claims 15 and 16. Claim 13, the applicant's representative discussed removing the duplicate limitations of the claim to overcome the 112 1st paragraph rejection and the drawing objection. Regarding claim 1, the examiner proposed that the applicant's representative add limitatiosn disclosing the orientation of the sleeve bore to overcome the previous rejection.